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This paper deals with the in-plane free vibration of rings with a nominally elliptical centreline. Results are presented for rings of constant axial length that have a rectangular cross-section, the radial thickness of which is constant or has a simple, analytically defined circumferential variation. Additionally, and for the first time, the effects of small variations in in-plane profile, such as those arising in practical rings due to manufacturing tolerances, are considered. The problem is tackled using an approach in which the true middle surface is determined numerically from the outer and inner surface profiles, which can be defined either by exact analytical expressions or in a more general way using Fourier series. The Rayleigh-Ritz method is used to obtain the natural frequencies and mode shapes. Results are presented for a range of cases, including some that have previously been studied by other authors and some that have not. The effects on frequency splitting due to profile variations and the aspect ratio of the ellipse are emphasized. Results obtained using the developed numerical approach show excellent agreement with finite element predictions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The in-plane vibration of elliptical and oval rings is a problem of some practical importance that has attracted the attention of a number of researchers in recent years although, in comparison to circular rings, the number of papers published is quite small. The present paper has three main aims. Firstly, it illustrates the application to elliptical rings of a new and quite general approach to the in-plane vibration of closed rings, the theoretical formulation of which is presented in references [1,2] with applications to nominally circular rings reported in references [3, 4]. Secondly, it presents results relating to the effects of small circumferential profile variations superimposed on the nominal cross-sectional shape, such as those which will inevitably exist in a real elliptical ring due to manufacturing processes. This aspect has not been considered in any previous publication known to the authors. Thirdly, it extends the results for variable thickness rings, presented in reference [5], to include antisymmetric modes.

Before presenting the main content of the paper, it is useful to give a brief review of the relevant earlier publications on the topic. Brigham [6] considered the
vibration of oval rings. He developed force and moment equilibrium equations for oval rings of variable cross-section and reduced these to an algebraic form using a truncated trigonometric series to express the displacements. Numerical results were presented for the first few in-plane modes of a ring of uniform thickness. Sato [7] illustrated a method for the free in-plane vibration of an elliptical ring with uniform cross-section using Love's theory of thin curved rods, assuming the central line of the ring to be "inextensional". The equations of motion were expressed in elliptical co-ordinates and the displacements were represented by a series of Mathieu functions [8]. Numerical predictions of the frequencies of the first eight modes showed good agreement with experimental measurements. Laura et al. [5] used the Rayleigh-Ritz method to investigate the free flexural vibrations of elliptical rings that have simple variations in cross-section but retain two planes of symmetry. The geometry of the undeformed ring was described using exact analytical expressions. The natural frequency factors were calculated using three-term sinusoidal and optimized three-term polynomial functions to describe the displacement of the middle surface. Numerical results were presented for a range of values of ellipticity and thickness variations for modes which are symmetrical with respect to the planes of symmetry of the ellipse. Antisymmetric modes were not considered. The literature also contains a number of papers on the related topic of the vibration of elliptical shells of which references [9, 10] are good examples.

Note that, in references [6-10] cited above, it was assumed that the middle surface of the ring was known a priori in a specific analytic form. For rings of complex shape, the true middle surface will not normally be known and must be determined from the inner and outer surfaces.

In sections 2 and 3, the geometrical description of the ring is considered and a brief outline of the derivation of the frequency equation is given. Numerical results are presented in section 4 for a number of cases and, where possible, comparisons are made with previously published results. Additionally, finite element results are presented for several cases in order to provide confirmation of the results calculated using the current numerical approach.

## 2. GEOMETRY

The natural frequencies and mode shapes of the ring are to be calculated using the Rayleigh-Ritz method. A suitable description of the geometry of the undeformed ring is required so that the strain energy and kinetic energy can be evaluated. In references [1,2], the inner and outer profiles of non-circular rings were described in a general way using Fourier series. When considering a perfectly elliptical ring, or indeed any ring whose inner and outer profiles can be defined by known analytical functions, there are two ways to proceed.

One may choose to describe the ring geometry in terms of the known analytical functions or one may choose to express the profiles as Fourier series. The former approach will usually be more computationally efficient, but the latter approach allows the frequency splits to be interpreted in the context of the frequency-splitting
rules discussed in reference [4], which are expressed in terms of the spatial-harmonic content of the profile. Furthermore, when considering a ring that departs from the perfectly elliptical (in which case an analytical description of the profile may not be available), it is very convenient to adopt a Fourier series description for the profile. Results will therefore be presented that have been derived using both approaches.

A start is made by considering the geometry of the undeformed ring. There are two cases to consider, one where the ring is perfectly elliptical and the other where the ring deviates from perfect ellipticity by a small but significant amount.

The equation of a perfect ellipse can be expressed in rectangular co-ordinates (see Figure 1(a)) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the semi-major and semi-minor axes, respectively.
It follows from Figure 1(a) that the co-ordinates of a point on the ellipse can be expressed in terms of the length $O P$, denoted $r_{P}$, and the angle $\beta$ formed by the lines $O P$ and $O X$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=r_{p} \cos \beta  \tag{2}\\
& y=r_{p} \sin \beta \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $r_{P}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{P}=\frac{a b}{\left[a^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta+b^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta\right]^{1 / 2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where the inner and outer profiles of the ring are perfectly elliptical, their shape can be defined by equation (4) with appropriate values of $a$ and $b$, say $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $a_{o}, b_{o}$, for the inner and outer profiles, respectively. The Fourier series description of a perfect ellipse will be considered in greater detail in section 4.

Consider now a ring in which inner and outer profiles are no longer perfect ellipses. The departure from ellipticity can be defined using Fourier series as described in reference [2]. For the purposes of illustration and simplicity, consider here the case where the departure from the purely elliptical shape takes the form of a single spatial harmonic of amplitude $h_{f}^{ \pm}$. In this case, the outer and inner profile functions, $f^{+}(\beta)$ and $f^{-}(\beta)$, can be expressed in terms of $\beta$ as

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{+}(\beta)=r^{+}+h_{f}^{+} \cos i \beta  \tag{5}\\
f^{-}(\beta)=r^{-}+h_{f}^{-} \cos (j \beta-\phi) \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the spatial phase between the inner and outer profiles, $r^{+}=\overline{O P_{o}}$ and $r^{-}=\overline{O P}_{i}$ respectively denote the distances from the coincident centres of the perfectly elliptical surfaces, on which the profile variations are superimposed, to points on the outer and inner surfaces at angle $\beta$, as shown in Figure 1(b).


Figure 1. (a) The middle surface of an elliptical ring with constant cross-section. (b) An elliptical ring with variable cross-section.

These are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{+}=\frac{a_{o} b_{o}}{\left[a_{o}^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta+b_{o}^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta\right]^{1 / 2}}  \tag{7}\\
& r^{-}=\frac{a_{i} b_{i}}{\left[a_{i}^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta+b_{i}^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta\right]^{1 / 2}} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$a_{o}, b_{o}, a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are, respectively, the nominal semi-major and semi-minor axes of the outer and inner profiles.

Once the outer and inner surface functions, $f^{+}(\beta)$ and $f^{-}(\beta)$, are defined, the middle surface function $f(\beta)$ can be expressed in terms of a variable parameter $m$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\beta)=m r^{+}+(1-m) r^{-}+m h_{f}^{+} \cos i \beta+(1-m) h_{f}^{-} \cos (j \beta-\phi) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<m<1$ and $\beta=0$ to $2 \pi$.

The variation of $m$ with $\beta$ can be determined using the iterative numerical procedure, which is described in detail in references [1,2]. This allows the true midsurface to be determined, as required for proper implementation of the reduced Novozhilov shell theory [11], on which the strain and kinetic energy expressions will be based.

## 3. EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

For free vibration at frequency $\omega$ the tangential and normal displacements, $v$ and $w$, of the middle surface are assumed to have the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(v_{n}^{s} \sin n \beta-v_{n}^{c} \cos n \beta\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t}  \tag{10}\\
w & =\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(w_{n}^{c} \cos n \beta+w_{n}^{s} \sin n \beta\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{n}^{c}, v_{n}^{s}, w_{n}^{c}$ and $w_{n}^{s}$ are the generalized co-ordinates and the superscripts " $s$ " and " $c$ " denote the coefficients of sine and cosine terms, respectively.

The relevant strain energy and kinetic energy calculations and subsequent application of the Rayleigh-Ritz method are fully described in reference [2] from which the eigenvalue problem can be expressed in the following form:

$$
\left[\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{K}^{s s} & \mathbf{K}^{s c}  \tag{12}\\
\mathbf{K}^{c s} & \mathbf{K}^{c c}
\end{array}\right]-\lambda^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{M}^{s s} & \mathbf{M}^{s c} \\
\mathbf{M}^{c s} & \mathbf{M}^{c c}
\end{array}\right]\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{q}_{s} \\
\mathbf{q}_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{q}_{s}=\left[v_{0}^{S}, w_{0}^{S}, v_{1}^{S} \ldots v_{N}^{S}, w_{N}^{S}\right]^{T}, \quad \mathbf{q}_{C}=\left[v_{0}^{C}, w_{0}^{C}, v_{1}^{C} \ldots v_{N}^{C}, w_{N}^{C}\right]^{T} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left[\mathbf{K}^{s s}\right],\left[\mathbf{M}^{s s}\right]$, etc., represent stiffness and mass matrices of size $2(N+1)$ where $N$ is the number of terms in the displacement function series (equations (10) and (11)). The frequency factors, $\lambda(n)$, are the eigenvalues of equation (12), which are calculated using standard numerical routines. They are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(n)=\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E}} \ell_{0} \omega(n) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega(n)$ is the natural frequency of the $n$th radial mode, $\rho$ and $E$ are density and Young's modulus respectively. $\ell_{0}$ is a representative length, defined here as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{12}}{h_{1}} b^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{1}$ is the radial thickness of the cross-section at $X=0$ (see Figure 1(b)) and $b$ is the semi-minor axis of the nominal mid-surface.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the following sections, results will be presented for a number of different cases including elliptical rings of constant cross-section, elliptical rings of nominally
constant cross-section with small additional profile variations and elliptical rings having a defined, variable cross-section. Natural frequency data is only presented for the low-order modes, which are likely to be of most practical significance.

The number of terms taken in the displacement function series, equations (10) and (11), governs the accuracy of the natural frequency prediction. In the present paper, 30 terms are used. This gives convergence to five significant figures in the frequencies of the second, third and fourth flexural modes. In the finite element analysis, a two-dimensional stress element is adopted and $120 \times 2$ elements are used for all the cases.

In order to compare the natural frequency factors calculated in the present study with those published in references [5, 7], the following nominal dimensions will be used (see Figure 1(b)): $a=51 \mathrm{~mm}$ with $a / b$ in the range from $1 / 1 \cdot 1$ to $1 / 1 \cdot 7$ and $b=51 \mathrm{~mm}$ with $a / b$ in the range from $1 \cdot 0$ to $2 \cdot 0, h_{1}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$ with $h_{2} / h_{1}$ in the range $1 \cdot 0-1 \cdot 4$.

### 4.1. ELLIPTICAL RINGS OF CONSTANT CROSS-SECTION

The results of the current study were derived using both the numerical method developed in references [1,2] and the finite element method.

For illustration, Table 1 compares the results of the present study with those given in references [5, 7] for the cases of $h_{2} / h_{1}=1 \cdot 0$ and $a / b=1 \cdot 1-2 \cdot 0$ for the 2 nd and 4th symmetric modes. The results of the present study shown in Table 1 were obtained using exact functions to define the outer and inner profiles of the ring and the middle surface was calculated from the outer and inner profiles as described in reference [2].

It can be seen that the results obtained using the present numerical approach are in excellent agreement with the finite element results. The maximum difference is less than $0 \cdot 5 \%$ for the $n=2$ modes and less than $0.7 \%$ for the $n=4$ modes. For $a / b \leqslant 1 \cdot 2$, good agreement (typically $<2 \%$ for $n=2$ and 4) is obtained between the factors of the present study and those of references [5, 7]. As $a / b$ increases from 1.4 to $2 \cdot 0$, the frequency factors obtained in reference [7] are still in good agreement ( $\sim 2 \cdot 2 \%$ ) with those of the present study. However, for larger values of $a / b$, there is an increasing divergence between the results of reference [5] and those of the present study and differences of the order of $85 \%$ (three-term polynomial series) and $120 \%$ (three-term sinusoidal series) are observed for the $n=4$ modes, illustrating the limitations of a three-term displacement series as used in reference [5].

The variation in the natural frequency factors for flexural modes with $n=2$ is illustrated graphically in Figure 2. The observed decrease in frequency factor with increasing aspect ratio $a / b$, is a result of the fact that the centreline length of the ring increases as $a / b$ increases with $b$ held at a constant value (see equation (15)).

The results in Table 1 also show that one of the effects of increasing the aspect ratio $a / b$ is to produce frequency splitting between pairs of modes that would have
Table 1
Comparison of predicted frequency factors $(\lambda)$ for the $n=2$ and $n=4$ modes for $h_{2} / h_{1}=1 \cdot 0$ and varying aspect ratio a $/ b$

| $a / b$ | Symmetric or antisymmetric mode | Second mode |  |  |  |  | Fourth mode |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Present } \\ \text { study } \\ \text { (numerical) } \end{gathered}$ | Present study (FE) | Reference [7] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reference } \\ {[5]} \\ 3-S \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reference } \\ {[5]} \\ 3-\mathrm{P} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Present } \\ \text { study } \\ \text { (numerical) } \end{gathered}$ | Present study (FE) | Reference [7] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reference } \\ {[5]} \\ 3-S \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reference } \\ {[5]} \\ 3-\mathrm{P} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.0 | S A | $\begin{aligned} & 2.683 \\ & (0.0) \\ & 2.683 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.685 \\ & (0.0) \\ & 2.685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.683 \\ & (0.0) \\ & 2.683 \end{aligned}$ | 2.683 - | 2.683 - | $\begin{gathered} 14 \cdot 55 \\ (0 \cdot 0) \\ 14 \cdot 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.56 \\ (0.0) \\ 14.56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.55 \\ (0.0) \\ 14.55 \end{gathered}$ | 14.55 | $14 \cdot 83$ |
| $1 \cdot 1$ | S | $\begin{aligned} & 2.426 \\ & (0.33 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.428 \\ & (0.29 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.427 \\ & (0.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 2.427 | 2.432 | $\begin{gathered} 13 \cdot 16 \\ (\approx 0 \cdot 0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \cdot 18 \\ (\approx 0 \cdot 0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \cdot 17 \\ & (0 \cdot 0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $13 \cdot 18$ | $13 \cdot 32$ |
|  | A | 2.434 | 2.435 | 2.437 | - | - | $13 \cdot 16$ | $13 \cdot 18$ | $13 \cdot 27$ | - | - |
| $1 \cdot 2$ | S | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 193 \\ & (1 \cdot 14 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 194 \\ & (1 \cdot 19 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.193 \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $2 \cdot 195$ | $2 \cdot 201$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.90 \\ (\approx 0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.92 \\ (\approx 0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \cdot 93 \\ & (0.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $12 \cdot 10$ | $12 \cdot 13$ |
|  | A | 2.218 | $2 \cdot 220$ | 2.226 | - | - | 11.90 | 11.92 | 11.93 | - | - |
| $1 \cdot 4$ | S | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 795 \\ (4 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.797 \\ (3.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.801 \\ (4.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 1.820 | 1.813 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 771 \\ & (0 \cdot 02 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.790 \\ & (0.02 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 834 \\ & (0 \cdot 13 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 11.04 | $10 \cdot 57$ |
|  | A | 1.868 | 1.867 | 1.885 | - | - | 9.773 | 9.792 | $9 \cdot 847$ | - | - |
| 1.7 | S | $\begin{gathered} 1.348 \\ (9.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 351 \\ (9 \cdot 6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 362 \\ (10 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 1.491 | 1.420 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 373 \\ & (0 \cdot 11 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 401 \\ & (0 \cdot 11 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 480 \\ & (0 \cdot 51 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 11.32 | $10 \cdot 04$ |
|  | A | 1.479 | 1.482 | 1.518 | - | - | $7 \cdot 381$ | 7.409 | $7 \cdot 519$ | - | - |
| $2 \cdot 0$ | S | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 034 \\ (16 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.039 \\ (16 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 056 \\ (19 \cdot 2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $1 \cdot 362$ | 1.219 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.687 \\ & (0 \cdot 26 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.728 \\ (0.26 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.813 \\ (1.25 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 12.63 | $10 \cdot 65$ |
|  | A | $1 \cdot 205$ | $1 \cdot 209$ | $1 \cdot 259$ | - | - | $5 \cdot 702$ | 5.743 | $5 \cdot 885$ | - | - |

Note: Values in the parentheses represent the percentage frequency split, where available. 3-S and 3-P denote three-term sine series and three-term polynomial series respectively.


Figure 2. Natural frequency factors of perfectly elliptical ring in the 2nd radial mode. $\quad$ higher mode; - lower mode.
identical natural frequencies in a perfectly circular ring. For example, the frequency factors of the symmetric and antisymmetric $n=2$ modes are the same ( $2 \cdot 683$ ) when $a / b=1$ but have a $16 \cdot 5 \%$ split when $a / b=2$. The $n=4$ modes also show a frequency split, although less marked, as $a / b$ increases. To help explain the observed pattern of behaviour we recall, as noted earlier, that the polar co-ordinate description of the ellipse, equation (4), can be expressed in terms of its spatial harmonic content, in a Fourier series of the form

$$
r(\beta)=h_{09}+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left[h_{p c} \cos p \beta+h_{p S} \sin p \beta\right]
$$

References [1,3] discuss the effect of spatial harmonics on frequency splitting in rings which depart from circularity and identify rules which govern splitting. The frequency splitting pattern in ellipses observed in Table 1 can be explained on the basis of these rules.

If one chooses the reference direction for $\beta$ along the semi-major axis then, due to symmetry, all the Fourier coefficients except the even-harmonic cosine coefficients ( $p=2,4,6, \ldots$ ) will be zero. Table 2 shows the Fourier coefficients for ellipses with $a / b$ in the range $1 \cdot 1$ to $1 \cdot 4$, normalized for $h_{0}=1$, and given to three decimal places. The corresponding percentage frequency splits for the first three sets of flexural modes are also given. It is clear from Table 2 that the Fourier coefficients decrease rapidly with increasing order. For example, for $a / b=1 \cdot 4, h_{2}$ is $16 \cdot 5 \%$ of $h_{0}$ and $h_{4}$ is $2 \%$ of $h_{0}$. For smaller values of $a / b$, the coefficients are much smaller. The observed pattern of frequency splitting is consistent with the splitting rules outlined in reference [3] which show that for even-harmonic profile variations ( $p$ even), there will be frequency splitting in modes of a given harmonic number $n$ when $n=k p / 2$

Table 2
Fourier coefficients $h_{i}$ for ellipses of varying aspect ratio and corresponding frequency splits, $\Delta f(n)$, in modes with $n$ nodal diameters

| $a / b$ | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{0}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| $h_{2}$ | 0.005 | 0.092 | 0.165 |
| $h_{4}$ | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.021 |
| $h_{6}$ | - | - | 0.003 |
| $h_{8}$ |  | - |  |
| Mode no. |  | $\Delta f(n)(\%)$ |  |
| $n=2$ | 0.32 | 1.16 | 3.78 |
| $n=3$ | 0.004 | 0.034 | 0.208 |
| $n=4$ | - | - | 0.019 |

( $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ ) with the largest effect when $k=1$. The largest split occurs in the second ( $n=2$ ) flexural modes and it is likely [4] that this is a most strongly associated with the relatively small $h_{4}$ coefficient and also, less strongly, with the rather larger $h_{2}$ coefficient. The frequency split in the $n=3$ modes is related principally to the small $h_{6}$ profile coefficient. For the range of values of $a / b$ illustrated, the spatial harmonic content of the elliptical profile is such that frequency splitting in the higher modes is very small ( $<\sim 0.02 \%$ ). It is interesting to note that, if the ellipses are described by Fourier series then, for $a / b=1 \cdot 1$, only the first four non-zero harmonics ( $p=2,4,6,8$ ) are needed to give five significant figure agreement with the frequency factors calculated using the exact profile. For $a / b=1 \cdot 4$, seven harmonics give the same level of agreement.

### 4.2. ELLIPTICAL RING WITH SMALL PROFILE VARIATIONS

The frequency splitting in perfect, uniform thickness elliptical rings caused by the spatial-harmonic content of the elliptical profile was considered in the previous section. Now consider the effects of small imperfection in the basic profile in the form of additional single-harmonic contributions, as described by equations (5) and (6). In addition to purely academic interest, these results are relevant when considering the accuracy of any experimentally measured frequencies because they demonstrate the possible magnitude of the changes in actual natural frequencies (compared to ideal "perfect ellipse" predictions) due to imperfection in the ring profile.

For illustration, results are presented for three different profile harmonic numbers ( $i=j=2,3,4$ ) and two values of spatial phase ( $\phi=0, \phi, \pi$ ), representing the limiting cases of a ring of constant in-plane thickness but with centreline distorted from purely elliptical and a ring which retains an elliptical centreline but

## Table 3

Comparison of predicted frequency factors ( $\lambda$ ) for $n=2$ modes for elliptical rings of varying aspect ratio with superimposed single harmonic profile variation

| Profile type |  | Aspect ratio $a / b$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $1 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| Perfect ellipse | H | $\begin{gathered} 2 \cdot 434 \\ (0 \cdot 33 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \cdot 218 \\ (1 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 868 \\ (4 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 721 \\ (5 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 479 \\ (9 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 205 \\ (16 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | L | $2 \cdot 426$ | $2 \cdot 193$ | 1.795 | 1.628 | $1 \cdot 348$ | 1.034 |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=2 \\ \phi=0 \end{gathered}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 432 \\ & (0.29 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \cdot 215 \\ (1 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 862 \\ (3 \cdot 8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.717 \\ (5 \cdot 6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 475 \\ (9 \cdot 6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \cdot 202 \\ (16 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | L | 2.425 | $2 \cdot 191$ | 1.793 | 1.626 | 1.346 | 1.033 |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=2 \\ \phi=\pi \end{gathered}$ | H | 2.472 | $2 \cdot 264$ | 1.914 | 1.783 | 1.558 | $1 \cdot 292$ |
|  |  | (2.6\%) | (1.8\%) | (1.2\%) | (3.2\%) | (7.8\%) | (15.7\%) |
|  | L | 2.409 | $2 \cdot 224$ | 1.891 | 1.727 | 1.445 | $1 \cdot 117$ |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=3 \\ \phi=0 \end{gathered}$ | H | 2.434 | $2 \cdot 218$ | 1.866 | 1.721 | 1.479 | 1.206 |
|  |  | (0.33\%) | (1.1\%) | (4.0\%) | (5.7\%) | (9.7\%) | (16.5\%) |
|  | L | $2 \cdot 426$ | $2 \cdot 193$ | 1.795 | 1.628 | 1.348 | 1.035 |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=3 \\ \phi=\pi \end{gathered}$ | H | $2 \cdot 400$ | $2 \cdot 184$ | $1 \cdot 832$ | $1 \cdot 688$ | $1 \cdot 447$ | $1 \cdot 176$ |
|  |  | (0\%) | (0.6\%) | (2.9\%) | (4.5\%) | (8.2\%) | (14.7\%) |
|  | L | $2 \cdot 400$ | $2 \cdot 171$ | 1.780 | 1.615 | 1.337 | 1.025 |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=4 \\ \phi=0 \end{gathered}$ | H | $2 \cdot 440$ | $2 \cdot 223$ | $1 \cdot 868$ | 1.722 | 1.480 | $1 \cdot 206$ |
|  |  | (0.87\%) | (1.6\%) | (4.3\%) | (6.0\%) | (10.0\%) | (16.6\%) |
|  | L | 2.419 | $2 \cdot 187$ | 1.791 | $1 \cdot 624$ | $1 \cdot 345$ | $1 \cdot 034$ |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=4 \\ \phi=\pi \end{gathered}$ | H | $2 \cdot 645$ | $2 \cdot 394$ | 1.966 | 1.786 | 1.482 | $1 \cdot 140$ |
|  |  | (27.0\%) | (25.7\%) | (21.2\%) | (18.5\%) | (12.4\%) | (3.4\%) |
|  | L | $2 \cdot 083$ | $1 \cdot 905$ | $1 \cdot 622$ | $1 \cdot 507$ | $1 \cdot 318$ | $1 \cdot 102$ |

Note: (i) "H" and "L" denote high and low frequency factors respectively.
(ii) Values in parentheses are percentage split between high and low frequency factors.
has harmonic variations in thickness around the circumference. Intermediate values of $\phi$ between 0 and $\pi$ represent rings with distortions in both the centreline and in the in-plane thickness. This leads to frequency predictions that lie between the two limiting cases [1]. For illustration, the amplitude of the profile variation is taken to be $h_{1}=0 \cdot 1 h$ where $h$ is the mean thickness. The effects of varying $h_{1}$ for nominally circular rings are reported in references [1, 4].

The natural frequency factors for modes with $n=2$ and 3 are presented in Tables 3 and 4 , respectively, for a ring with the above profile variations for aspect ratios $a / b$ in the range $1 \cdot 1-2 \cdot 0$ and $h_{2} / h_{1}=1 \cdot 0$. The tabulated data shows that the variations in natural frequency factors, and the degree of frequency splitting, depend both on the profile variation and on the aspect ratio of the ring. The patterns of behaviour are best illustrated graphically and the main points are discussed below with reference to Figures 2-5. For reference, Figure 2 shows the

Table 4
Comparison of predicted frequency factors ( $\lambda$ ) for $n=3$ modes for elliptical rings of varying aspect ratio with superimposed single harmonic profile variation

| Profile type |  | Aspect ratio $a / b$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | 1.7 | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| Perfect ellipse | H | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 860 \\ & (0 \cdot 00 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.196 \\ (0.03 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.065 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.592 \\ & (0.35 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.800 \\ (0.725) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \cdot 916 \\ (1.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | L | $6 \cdot 860$ | 6.194 | 5.055 | 4.576 | 3.773 | $2 \cdot 878$ |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=2 \\ \phi=0 \end{gathered}$ | H | $6 \cdot 856$ | 6.189 | 5.056 | 4.583 | 3.791 | 2.910 |
|  |  | (0.01\%) | (0.05\%) | (0.23\%) | (0.39\%) | (0.77\%) | (1.4\%) |
|  | L | $6 \cdot 855$ | $6 \cdot 186$ | 5.044 | 4.565 | 3.762 | $2 \cdot 869$ |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=2 \\ \phi=\pi \end{gathered}$ | H | $6 \cdot 880$ | $6 \cdot 262$ | $5 \cdot 173$ | 4.714 | 3.943 | 3.068 |
|  |  | (0.17\%) | (0.27\%) | (0.06\%) | (0.23\%) | (1.1\%) | (2.6\%) |
|  | L | $6 \cdot 868$ | $6 \cdot 245$ | $5 \cdot 170$ | $4 \cdot 703$ | 3.902 | $2 \cdot 990$ |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=3 \\ \phi=0 \end{gathered}$ | H | $6 \cdot 860$ | $6 \cdot 196$ | 5.065 | 4.591 | $3 \cdot 800$ | 2.918 |
|  |  | (0.00\%) | (0.03\%) | (0.20\%) | (0.33\%) | (0.72\%) | (1.4\%) |
|  | L | $6 \cdot 860$ | $6 \cdot 194$ | 5.055 | 4.576 | 3.773 | $2 \cdot 879$ |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=3 \\ \phi=\pi \end{gathered}$ | H | $6 \cdot 888$ | $6 \cdot 219$ | 5.078 | 4.598 | $3 \cdot 794$ | $2 \cdot 899$ |
|  |  | (3.0\%) | (2.9\%) | (2.7\%) | (2.6\%) | (2.4\%) | (2.2\%) |
|  | L | 6.690 | 6.045 | 4.943 | 4.480 | 3.705 | 2.838 |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=4 \\ \phi=0 \end{gathered}$ | H | $6 \cdot 860$ | $6 \cdot 196$ | 5.064 | 4.590 | 3.797 | $2 \cdot 922$ |
|  |  | (0.03\%) | (0.07\%) | (0.27\%) | (0.41\%) | (0.77\%) | (1.4\%) |
|  | L | 6.859 | 6.192 | 5.051 | 4.571 | 3.768 | $2 \cdot 881$ |
| $\begin{gathered} i=j=4 \\ \phi=\pi \end{gathered}$ | H | 6.794 | $6 \cdot 149$ | 5.051 | 4.589 | 3.813 | $2 \cdot 9389$ |
|  |  | (0.20\%) | (0.38\%) | (0.71\%) | (0.84\%) | (1.1\%) | (1.1\%) |
|  | L | 6.780 | $6 \cdot 125$ | $5 \cdot 015$ | $4 \cdot 550$ | 3.773 | $2 \cdot 906$ |

Note: (i) "H" and "L" denote high and low frequency factors, respectively.
(ii) Values in parentheses are percentage split between high and low frequency factors.
natural frequencies for $n=2$ modes of an elliptical ring without profile variations for $a / b=1 \cdot 1-2 \cdot 0$.

As noted previously, the frequency factors of all modes fall significantly as $a / b$ increases from 1 to 2 because with $b$ constant, the circumferential length of the ring is increasing. Regarding the pairs of modes for which $n=2$ (Table 3) it can be seen that, in general terms, the changes in frequency factor due to profile variation are in most cases quite small.

For the cases where $i=j=2, i=j=3$, and $\phi=0$, the plots of frequency factor against $a / b$ would be visually identical to Figure 2. In fact, for $i=j=3, \phi=0$, the frequency factors are numerically identical to those of the perfect ellipse to within the accuracy of the presented data. This is consistent with the frequency splitting rules given in reference [3].

For $i=j=4$ and $\phi=0$, there is a noticeable difference compared with the perfect ellipse for values of $a / b$ close to unity (e.g. $0 \cdot 87 \%$ split compared to $0.33 \%$


Figure 3. Natural frequency factors of perfectly elliptical ring and elliptical ring with $i=j=2$ profile variation for the 2 nd radial mode. $\phi=\pi$. Perfect ellipse: $\square$ - higher mode; $\qquad$ lower mode. Ellipse with profile variation: -- $\Delta-$ - higher mode; - $\nabla-$ - lower mode.


Figure 4. Natural frequency factors of perfectly elliptical ring and elliptical ring with $i=j=4$ profile variation for the 2nd radial mode. $\phi=\pi$. Perfect ellipse: - - higher mode; lower mode. Ellipse with profile variation: - $\Delta-$ - higher mode; - $\nabla--$ lower mode.
split for $a / b=1 \cdot 1$ ). This behaviour is generally consistent with the observations regarding nominally circular rings reported in reference [3]. When the spatial phase is zero (constant thickness) the $i=j=2,3$ profile variations do not interact strongly with the $n=2$ modes but $i=j=4$ profile variations interact more


Figure 5. Natural frequency factors of a perfectly elliptical ring and elliptical ring with $i=j=3$ profile variation for the 3rd radial mode. $\phi=\pi$. Perfect ellipse: - - higher mode; $\quad \square-$ lower mode. Ellipse with profile variation: - - $\Delta$ - higher mode; - - - - lower mode.
strongly. For values of $a / b$ close to unity, the $i=j=4$ profile variations cause additional frequency splitting compared with the perfect ellipse, but at larger values of $a / b$ the behaviour is dominated by the aspect ratio effects.

The pattern of behaviour for $n=2$ modes is somewhat different when the spatial phase $\phi=\pi$, and greater deviations in the frequency factors are noted compared with the perfect ellipse. Figure 3 compares the case where $i=j=2, \phi=\pi$ with the perfect ellipse. At low aspect ratios ( $a / b \sim 1$ ), the effect of profile variation is to significantly increase the frequency split from 0.33 to $2 \cdot 6 \%$. As the aspect ratio is increased towards $2 \cdot 0$, the frequency factors are higher than those for a perfect ring (e.g. $1 \cdot 292$ compared to $1 \cdot 205$ ) but the percentage frequency split is of the same order although slightly smaller. Clearly, the overall pattern of behaviour is influenced by a combination of thickness variation and aspect ratio effects while the (undeformed) ring centreline remains perfectly elliptical. For $i=j=3, \phi=\pi$, the trend is almost identical to that of a perfect ellipse, but with a slight reduction $(1 \cdot 4-2 \cdot 4 \%)$ in the frequency factors as $a / b$ increases. The most significant changes to the natural frequency factors of $n=2$ modes are found to occur for $i=j=4$, $\phi=\pi$, as in Figure 4. Here, it can be seen that the presence of the profile variation reverses the trend of frequency splitting. Very large frequency splits ( $\sim 27 \%$ ) occur at low aspect ratios $(\sim 1 \cdot 1)$. As the aspect ratio increases towards $2 \cdot 0$, the frequency split reduces to a lower value ( $\sim 3 \cdot 4 \%$ ) than that of the perfect ellipse ( $\sim 16 \cdot 5 \%$ ). Thus, at low values of $a / b$, the profile variation dominates the frequency split (consistent with observed behaviour of circular rings) but at higher values of $a / b$ the balance between profile variation and aspect ratio effects is such that frequency splitting is much reduced. Regarding the $n=3$ modes (Table 4), relatively small
variations in the frequency factors are again observed in most cases. The most significant case considered is $i=j=3, \phi=\pi$, see Figure 5, which introduces relatively large ( $\sim 3 \%$ ) frequency splits at low values of $a / b$ and causes the frequency split to be increased significantly for all values of $a / b$ considered.

The above discussion may be summarized as follows. The changes introduced in the lowest modes due to low-order harmonic variations in the profile are generally quite small. In all cases, the overall result is due to a balance of profile variation and aspect ratio effects, but the contribution from profile effects is generally in accordance with the patterns outlined in reference [3]. For rings which are close to circular $(a / b \sim 1)$, the effect of thickness variation tends to dominate the frequency split but at higher aspect ratios the effect of lack of circularity becomes the dominant feature.

### 4.3. ELLIPTICAL RING WITH VARIABLE CROSS-SECTION

Reference [5] presents results for the symmetric flexural modes of inextensible, non-uniform elliptical rings with two planes of symmetry, in which the in-plane thickness varies linearly along the section centreline in each quadrant (see Figure 1(b)). The displacement was described using two different functions (three-term sinusoidal and optimized three-term polynomial). In the present study, an exact function was used to describe the outer and inner surfaces. Both the developed numerical method [1,2] and the finite element method were used for $h_{2} / h_{1}$ in the range $1 \cdot 0-1 \cdot 4$ and $a / b$ in the range $1 / 1 \cdot 7-2 \cdot 0$. The results presented here amplify and extend the results of reference [5] by including antisymmetric modes.

Table 5 gives a comparison between the natural frequency factors for $n=2$ modes obtained by the present study, and those given in reference [5]. The most important features of the results can be summarized as follows.

The natural frequency factors obtained using the current numerical analysis and the finite element method are in excellent agreement, the maximum difference being less than $1 \%$ in the studied cases. The three-term sinusoidal approximation of reference [5] gives good agreement with the finite element predictions with a difference of less than $5.5 \%$ for $1 / 1.5<a / b<1 \cdot 2$. However, for more extreme values of $a / b$, the discrepancy increases significantly to $\sim 21 \%$ for $a / b=1.4$. A similar pattern of behaviour is displayed for the three-term polynomial series of reference [5], but the percentage differences are less by a factor of about two in most cases. Clearly, an increased number of terms in the displacement approximation series would give better accuracy.

The general trends in the frequency factors given in Table 5 may be summarized as follows. The frequency factors are affected both by aspect ratio $(a / b)$ variations and thickness ratio $\left(h_{2} / h_{1}\right)$ variations. Generally, all frequency factors increase as thickness ratio increases (with $h_{1}$ constant) and decrease as $a / b$ increases (with $b$ constant). However, for the range of parameters considered, aspect ratio variations make a larger contribution to the change of frequency factors. For example, compared with a circular ring of uniform thickness $\left(a / b=1, h_{2} / h_{1}=1\right)$, a change in aspect ratio to $a / b=1.4$ produces $\sim 33 \%$ change in the $n=2$

Table 5
Comparison of predicted frequency factors ( $\lambda$ ) for $n=2$ modes for elliptical rings of varying aspect ratio with variable thickness ratio $h_{2} / h_{1}$

| Method | $h_{2} / h_{1}$ | $a / b$ | $1 / 1 \cdot 7$ | 1/1.4 | $1 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 4$ | 1.7 | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current numerical | $1 \cdot 0$ | S | 4.05 | 3.65 | $2 \cdot 683$ | $1 \cdot 80$ | 1.35 | 1.03 |
|  |  | A | 4.54 | $3 \cdot 87$ | 2.683 | 1.87 | $1 \cdot 48$ | 1.21 |
|  | $1 \cdot 1$ | S | 4.06 | $3 \cdot 67$ | $2 \cdot 82$ | $1 \cdot 90$ | $1 \cdot 43$ | 1.09 |
|  |  | A | 4.53 | 3.86 | $2 \cdot 81$ | 1.94 | 1.53 | 1.25 |
|  | $1 \cdot 2$ | S | $4 \cdot 22$ | $3 \cdot 82$ | $2 \cdot 95$ | $2 \cdot 00$ | 1.51 | $1 \cdot 16$ |
|  |  | A | $4 \cdot 78$ | 4.06 | $2 \cdot 94$ | $2 \cdot 02$ | 1.59 | $1 \cdot 28$ |
|  | $1 \cdot 3$ | S | 4.38 | 3.98 | 3.09 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 1.59 | 1.21 |
|  |  | A | $5 \cdot 02$ | $4 \cdot 25$ | 3.05 | 2.08 | 1.63 | 1.32 |
|  | $1 \cdot 4$ | S | 4.54 | $4 \cdot 13$ | $3 \cdot 23$ | $2 \cdot 21$ | 1.66 | $1 \cdot 27$ |
|  |  | A | $5 \cdot 25$ | $4 \cdot 43$ | $3 \cdot 17$ | $2 \cdot 15$ | 1.68 | 1.35 |
| Finite element | $1 \cdot 0$ | S | - | - | $2 \cdot 685$ | 1.80 | $1 \cdot 35$ | 1.04 |
|  |  | A | - | - | 2.685 | 1.87 | $1 \cdot 48$ | 1.21 |
|  | $1 \cdot 1$ | S | 4.06 | 3.67 | $2 \cdot 82$ | 1.90 | 1.43 | $1 \cdot 10$ |
|  |  | A | 4.54 | $3 \cdot 87$ | $2 \cdot 82$ | $1 \cdot 94$ | 1.53 | 1.25 |
|  | $1 \cdot 2$ | S | $4 \cdot 22$ | $3 \cdot 83$ | 2.96 | $2 \cdot 00$ | 1.51 | $1 \cdot 16$ |
|  |  | A | $4 \cdot 79$ | 4.06 | $2 \cdot 94$ | $2 \cdot 02$ | $1 \cdot 59$ | $1 \cdot 29$ |
|  | $1 \cdot 3$ | S | $4 \cdot 38$ | 3.98 | 3.09 | $2 \cdot 11$ | 1.59 | 1.22 |
|  |  | A | 5.03 | $4 \cdot 25$ | 3.06 | 2.08 | $1 \cdot 64$ | 1.32 |
|  | $1 \cdot 4$ | S | 4.55 | $4 \cdot 13$ | $3 \cdot 23$ | $2 \cdot 21$ | 1.67 | 1.28 |
|  |  | A | $5 \cdot 26$ | $4 \cdot 43$ | $3 \cdot 17$ | $2 \cdot 15$ | $1 \cdot 68$ | $1 \cdot 36$ |
| Laura | $1 \cdot 1$ | S | $4 \cdot 18$ | $3 \cdot 67$ | $2 \cdot 81$ | 2.02 | - | - |
| 3-S | $1 \cdot 2$ | S |  | 3.79 | 2.95 | $2 \cdot 24$ | - | - |
|  | $1 \cdot 3$ | S |  | 3.91 | 3.08 | $2 \cdot 46$ | - | - |
|  | $1 \cdot 4$ | S |  | 4.03 | $3 \cdot 21$ | $2 \cdot 68$ | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Laura } \\ 3-\mathrm{P} \end{gathered}$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | S | $4 \cdot 14$ | 3.70 | $2 \cdot 81$ | 1.92 | 1.51 | - |
|  | $1 \cdot 2$ | S | $4 \cdot 28$ | $3 \cdot 85$ | 2.95 | $2 \cdot 02$ | $1 \cdot 62$ | - |
|  | $1 \cdot 3$ | S | $4 \cdot 43$ | 4.00 | 3.08 | $2 \cdot 13$ | 1.72 | - |
|  | $1 \cdot 4$ | S | $4 \cdot 57$ | $4 \cdot 15$ | $3 \cdot 21$ | $2 \cdot 24$ | 1.83 | - |

frequency factors. By comparison, a change in thickness ratio to $h_{2} / h_{1}=1 \cdot 4$ produces $\sim 19 \%$ change in the frequency factors. The magnitude of frequency splitting also depends on the combined effects of thickness variations and eccentricity, but the aspect ratio is more influential in the range of parameters considered. For example, with $a / b=1$ (circular ring) the frequency splitting in the $n=2$ modes varies from 0 to $\sim 1 \cdot 9 \%$ as $h_{2} / h_{1}$ varies from $1 \cdot 0$ to $1 \cdot 4$. However, for $h_{2} / h_{1}=1$ (constant thickness), the frequency splitting varies in the range 0 to $\sim 17 \cdot 5 \%$ as $a / b$ varies in the range $1 / 1 \cdot 7-2 \cdot 0$. The fact that the thickness variation produces relatively little effect on the frequency split of the $n=2$ modes may be interpreted intuitively on the basis that the assumed linear variation in thickness
in each quadrant of the ring produces a predominantly $2 \theta$ thickness variation, which does not interact strongly with $n=2$ modes [4].

The effects of additional, single-harmonic profile variations on the variable thickness ellipse described above are considered in reference [1].

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The free vibrations of nominally elliptical rings having constant or variable cross-section have been investigated. The study has made use of a numerical method that takes proper account of the true mid-surface of the ring. For comparison, results have also been obtained using the finite element method. The results obtained by the numerical method and the finite element method show excellent agreement.

The effect of the aspect ratio of the ellipse on frequency splitting between modes has been investigated in terms of a Fourier series description of the profile of the ellipse. The behaviour is shown to match previously observed patterns. The influence of single harmonic perturbations on the ring profile has also been investigated and the resulting patterns of frequency splitting have been explained.

Comparison of the predictions of the current numerical method with previously published results for rings of variable thickness shows good agreement for rings with aspect ratios close to unity. However, when the aspect ratio is significantly different from unity, the additional terms used in the present work, together with the accurate determination of the true middle surface, give results of significantly improved accuracy. The relative effects of aspect ratio variations and thickness ratio variations on the behaviour of the frequency factors has been highlighted.
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